

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/010,810	11/13/2001	Mark Troll	10004082-1	3073
7	590 10/21/2003		EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			SUCHECKI, KRYSTYNA	
Legal Departm	ent, DL429		<u></u>	
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599			2882	
Loveland CO	90527 0500			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{M}_{-}				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	AC				
	10/010,810	TROLL, MARK					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Krystyna Suchecki	2882					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	et with the correspond nce ac	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE	1 MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, months within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			ne merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are pending in the applicatio							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
 8) Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 	election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Everniner					
	. ,— .	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• •						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		.,,,,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received	in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Box	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			I application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)⊡-Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes							
Attachment(s)	Stronggagg.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No e of Informal Patent Application (PT :	= 0 = 1 - 1				
			i i				

Árt Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-32, and 49-62, drawn to an optical device, system and switch.
 - II. Claims 33-48, drawn to a method of making a liquid composition, classified in class 252, subclass 582.
 - III. Claims 63-68, drawn to a method of matching a refractive index, classified in class 356, subclass 128.
 - IV. Claims 69-74, drawn to a method for transmitting an optical signal, classified in class 359, subclass 228.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects. An optical device/system/switch differs in effect from a method of making a liquid composition, just as the method of making a liquid composition differs in effect from the method of matching a refractive index. An optical device/system/switch differs in effect from a method of matching a refractive index.
- 4. Inventions II, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the

Art Unit: 2882

different inventions disclose different methods that cause different effects, the effects being making a liquid composition, matching a refractive index and transmitting an optical signal.

Page 3

- 5. Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the device of group 1 can be used in a different process, such as in a passive light transmission system requiring no selective intersection means, the system of group 1 can be used in a process requiring more than one pathway and the switch of group 1 can also be used in a process requiring more than one pathway.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. If group 1 is elected, applicant must elect from the species requirement outlined below:
- 9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A Device with a groove in a substrate, claims 1-6; a.

Art Unit: 2882

Page 4

b. An Optical System with a groove in a substrate and control means, claims 7-32; and

- c. An Optical Switch with a groove in a substrate and waveguides on a substrate, claims 49-62.
- 10. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-6 claims are generic.
- 11. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 12. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 13. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

25.5 65

Art Unit: 2882

Page 5

14. A telephone call was made to Ian Hardcastle on 10/06/03 to request an oral election to the

above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

15. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Krystyna Suchecki whose telephone number is (703) 305-5424.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-6, with alternating Fridays off.

17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Glick can be reached on (703) 308-4858. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

18. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

ks

EDWARD J. GLICK

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEH